

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/746,481	12/26/2000	Hyun-Cheol Kim	_ 11349-P66236US0	9012
7590 02/26/2004			EXAMINER	
JACOBSON, PRICE, HOLMAN & STERN			BUGG, GEORGE A	
PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY 400 Seventh Street, N.W.				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20004			2613	
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004	, 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/746,481	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George A Bugg	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum is - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on					
•						
3) Since this application is in condition	· -					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	•	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	documents have been received. documents have been received in a of the priority documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.	PTO-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/746,481 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,686,963 to Uz et al.
- 3. With regard to claims 1 and 8, Applicant claims "A video encoding method comprising the steps of a) selecting an encoding method for each frame of incoming images and dividing the images into blocks of a certain size; b) carrying out either an intra coded block encoding or an inter coded block encoding depending on whether an encoding of a current frame and an inter coded encoding are needed or not, and whether an intra coded block is relevant or not, and sorting out blocks requiring an intra updating by utilizing a bit stream size information for each block if the inter coded encoding is needed; and repeatedly carrying out the step a and the step b to a last frame." Column 9, lines 13-19, of Uz discloses an inter/intra decision wherein an encoding method is chosen based on frame type for a macro block. It should also be noted that the term "macro block" generally refers to a block of predetermined size. It is the contention of the Examiner that part b

Application/Control Number: 09/746,481

Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

of claims 1 and 8 is met by Uz as disclosed in column 11, line 12 through column 12, line 8. Inter coding or intra-coding depending on what is needed, while not specifically recited by Uz, is further dependent on GOP structure and what type of coding is needed for that frame. Furthermore, if a frames activity deviates from an average, and deviation exceeds a threshold value, Uz detects a scene change, at which time a new GOP is started. The scene change is due to large fluctuations, or errors in motion estimation, and therefore is deemed to be an irrelevant frame, thus prompting the beginning of a new GOP. With regard to sorting out blocks, which require intra updating, Uz states that the budget for I frames is determined using factors such as intra-activity and VBV occupancy. Since I frames are so large in comparison to P or B frames, it would be obvious to sort them out and use inter coded frames instead, because I frames will place the buffer in an overflow situation. Therefore, bit stream size information is utilized to sort out I frames, to maintain buffer occupancy. Carrying out encoding steps in an iterative fashion, to a last frame, is an obvious embodiment of any encoder. It is the opinion of the Examiner that the Uz reference discloses what is claimed by Applicant both directly and indirectly as suggested above.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/746,481

Art Unit: 2613

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George A Bugg whose telephone number is (703) 305-2329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher S Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George A Bugg Examiner Art Unit 2613

GAB

February 19, 2004

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600